



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,973 03/07/2002		Jean-Claude Junqua	9432-000148	1465	
27572 75	12/09/2005		EXAM	MINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			RIVERO, MINERVA		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD	HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2655	2655	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	s)	
Advisory Action	10/092,973	JUNQUA Art Unit		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner			
	Minerva Rivero	2655		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	,	
REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followers the application in condition for allowance: (2) a least the application in condition for allowance: (3) a least the application in condition for allowance:	lowing replies: (1) an amendmen	t, affidavit, or other evidence, which		

*	Minerva Rivero	2655							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.						
	had main and all and a section of the control	6	<b>1</b>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains.</li> </ol>			because						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Below,							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) $oxtimes$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13 and 26</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).						
10.		•							
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	·(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)							
•									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other: Proposed amendments to finally rejected claims 1 and 21 require a new search since a data structure storing associations among different types of biometric data and indivdual data had not been previously claimed.

TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS
PRIMARY EXAMINER